arXiv:astro-ph/0207246v1 11 Jul 2002

The Virtual Observatory as a Tool to Study Star Cluster Populations in Starburst Galaxies

Richard de Grijs[1] and Uta Fritze–v. Alvensleben[2]

[1] Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, U.K.
[2] University of Göttingen, Universitätssternwarte, Geismarlandstraße 11, 37083 Göttingen, Germany

1 The Cluster Luminosity Function

The cluster luminosity function (CLF) is one of the most important diagnostics in the study of old globular and young compact star cluster populations. While the CLFs of young star cluster systems are closely approximated by power laws, as predicted by standard cluster formation models (e.g., [2] [4]), for old globular cluster systems the shape of the CLF, and also of the *initial* mass function, is well-established to be roughly Gaussian, with an apparently universal turnover luminosity (mass).

Processes responsible for the transformation of the CLF of young star cluster systems into the lognormal distributions characteristic of older CLFs include differential fading due to aging of the cluster population, the preferential depletion of low-mass clusters by evaporation and tidal interaction with the gravitational field of the parent galaxy, and the preferential destruction of high-masss clusters by dynamical friction. The relative importance of these destruction processes is still controversial (see, e.g., [4] [5] [6]).

One should be cautious, however, to generalize any of the "standard" models, since they only apply to quiescent, non-interacting galaxies. In the high-pressure environments and the time-varying potentials of interacting and merging galaxies we expect significant differences for the cluster formation and destruction processes, mass spectra, and time-scales for the dynamical evolution of star clusters due to, e.g., external pressure and shocks.

2 Scientific Questions and the Virtual Observatory

Using the wealth of archival data from the *Hubble Space Telescope* and large ground-based facilities, we are currently using the ST-ECF ASTROVIRTEL tools[1] to obtain CLFs and colour distributions in several optical and/or near-infrared passbands, in order to address these issues statistically.

We caution strongly that it is obviously very important to obtain accurate ages for the individual clusters and to correct the observational CLF to a common age before interpreting the "intrinsic" CLF, see Fig. 1 [1] [3].

[1] E.g., *querator* (http://archive.eso.org/querator) for imaging observations and *listator* (http://archive.eso.org/listator) for spectroscopic observations.



Fig. 1. CLF for the cluster sample in M82's fossil starburst region "B", corrected individually to a fiducial age of 50 Myr [1]. The CLF may be broadened due to dynamical destruction of lower mass clusters, although the degree to which our cluster sample is incomplete for these brightnesses is uncertain. Questions like these can now be addressed with the large amount of data available in the archives.

The ASTROVIRTEL initiative allows us, for the first time, to address questions such as:

1. Is the *intrinsic* CLF (or, alternatively, the cluster initial mass function) a power law in all cases?
2. What are the time-scales for the evolution from the power-law young compact CLFs into old globular CLFs?
3. Is there a clear difference between OB associations/open clusters and compact globular clusters at formation or do they all form a continuum mass spectrum?
4. Can we "observe" dynamical cluster destruction by comparison of young star cluster systems in ongoing mergers and merger remnants of various ages?
5. Is there an environmental dependence on the efficiency and therefore on the time-scale of low-mass star cluster depletion?
6. Is the (old) globular CLF *universal*? To what extent and how reliably can it be used as a (secondary) distance indicator and to estimate H_0?
7. Do stronger starbursts favour the formation of more massive clusters?
8. Does the ratio between field star formation and star formation in clusters depend on the galaxy or interaction properties?
9. Can we determine the violent star and cluster formation histories of galaxies based on star cluster colour distributions and deep luminosity functions?

References

1. de Grijs R., O'Connell R.W., Gallagher J.S., 2001, AJ, 121, 768
2. Elmegreen B.G., Efremov Y.N., 1997, ApJ, 480, 235
3. Fritze–v. Alvensleben U., 1999, A&A, 342, L25
4. Gnedin O.Y., Ostriker J.P., 1997, ApJ, 474, 223
5. Vesperini E., 2000, MNRAS, 318, 841
6. Vesperini E., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 247